



L. A. 'Tony' Kovach • You

now ...

Publisher of MHLivingNews.com and MHProNews.com, affordable manufactured ...

Pardon me, but Lesli Gooch and the Manufactured Housing Institute are arguably mistaken. The Senate bill brief explained local zoning remains in the hands of local officials. MHI's Gail Cardwell, prior president and CEO and one of the names apparently removed by MHI from the public facing side of their website, was talking over 15 years ago about challenges with FHA Title I. <https://www.manufacturedhomeprnews.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/HistoricalFHATitle1Endorsements-HomeOnlyChattelLoansPersonalPropertyLoansMHProNews.jpg> and there was no progress since then. The Duty to Serve (DTS) became law with HERA 2008, which this bill didn't address, despite the fact that MHARR pushed for that and for specific tools to strengthen "enhanced preemption" to overcome local zoning barriers. (1/2) Meanwhile production falls. <https://www.manufacturedhomeprnews.com/mharr-reports-new-manufactured-home-production-continues-to-fall-doug-gorman-speaks-from-beyond-grave-will-manufactured-housing-institute-respond-to-declining-production-related-w>



L. A. 'Tony' Kovach • You

now ...

Publisher of MHLivingNews.com and MHProNews.com, affordable manufactured ...

(2/2) Mark Weiss called the bill a "litany of deficiencies." <https://manufacturedhousingassociationregulatoryreform.org/pending-housing-legislation-is-a-litany-of-deficiencies-and-missed-opportunities-for-mainstream-manufactured-housing-and-consumers-mharr-issues-and-perspectives/> If MHI, or an MHI member, can debate these issues and explain why years of experience will magically fade when there is only a removable chassis, that may also take time, and may have little or no impact on local zoning boards. When was the last time a local zoning board said words to the effect: 'If you manufactured housing folks had a removable chassis, then we will let you place your homes.' I've never heard such a zoning posture, have you? So, why exactly is this a win? Or is this, as the Cascade Policy Center called the act "political theater" precisely because it left local zoning intact? Anybody in the MHI orbit up for that open and honest discussion?

[L. A. 'Tony' Kovach You](#)[L. A. 'Tony' Kovach • You](#)

[Publisher of MHLivingNews.com and MHProNews.com, affordable manufactured home industry expert and consultant.](#)

Pardon me, but Lesli Gooch and the Manufactured Housing Institute are arguably mistaken. The Senate bill brief explained local zoning remains in the hands of local officials. MHI's Gail Cardwell, prior president and CEO and one of the names apparently removed by MHI from the public facing side of their website, was talking over 15 years ago about challenges with FHA Title I. <https://www.manufacturedhomeprnews.com/wp->

[content/uploads/2023/08/HistoricalFHATitle1Endorsements-](#)

[HomeOnlyChattelLoansPersonalPropertyLoansMHProNews.jpg](#) and there was no progress since then. The Duty to Serve (DTS) became law with HERA 2008, which this bill didn't address, despite the fact that MHARR pushed for that and for specific tools to strengthen "enhanced preemption" to overcome local zoning barriers. (1/2) Meanwhile production falls. <https://www.manufacturedhomeprnews.com/mharr-reports-new-manufactured-home-production-continues-to-fall-doug-gorman-speaks-from-beyond-grave-will-manufactured-housing-institute-respond-to-declining-production-related-w>

[L. A. 'Tony' Kovach You](#)[L. A. 'Tony' Kovach • You](#)

[Publisher of MHLivingNews.com and MHProNews.com, affordable manufactured home industry expert and consultant.](#)

(2/2) Mark Weiss called the bill a "litany of deficiencies."

<https://manufacturedhousingassociationregulatoryreform.org/pending-housing-legislation-is-a-litany-of-deficiencies-and-missed-opportunities-for-mainstream-manufactured-housing-and-consumers-mharr-issues-and-perspectives/> If MHI, or an

MHI member, can debate these issues and explain why years of experience will magically fade when there is only a removable chassis, that may also take time, and may have little or no impact on local zoning boards. When was the last time a local zoning board said words to the effect: 'If you manufactured housing folks had a removable chassis, then we will let you place your homes.' I've never heard such a zoning posture, have you? So, why exactly is this a win? Or is this, as the Cascade Policy Center called the act "political theater" precisely because it left local zoning intact? Anybody in the MHI orbit up for that open and honest discussion?