

Draft of pending report by MHProNews for third-party AI fact check on the topics as shown below.

American and Manufactured Housing 9.11 Looms? Critiquing Rutherford's John and Nisha Whitehead's: 'How Tyranny Becomes Entrenched: 9/11 and the Police State's Endless Power Grabs' MHVilleFEA

According to Thomas Jefferson focused Monticello: "... in questions of power then, let no more be heard of confidence in man, but bind him down from mischief by the chains of the constitution" There are variations of that which are "spurious" quotations, says the authoritative Monticello site. Indeed, other famous or historic figures have had statements attributed to them which that person may never have uttered, or at least, not in the specific manner which a 'quote' is offered. From the commentary of John and Nisha Whitehead via the Rutherford Institute is this: "The greatest tyrannies are always perpetuated in the name of the noblest causes."—Thomas Paine. But that appears to be a spurious quote, wrongly attributed to Paine. What else might be spurious about Rutherford's founder and president, John Whitehead, J.D., and Rutherford Executive Director Nisha Whitehead's commentary: "How Tyranny Becomes Entrenched: 9/11 and the Police State's Endless Power Grabs" is a fair question, given that the opening quote is mistaken? If the American society is or becomes utterly subverted through a "power grab" isn't it a reasonable concern for every profession, manufactured housing included? More than a decade since the revelations of Edward Snowden, and over two decades since the U.S.A. went to war in Iraq for apparently spurious (i.e.: false, misleading, deceptive, etc.) reasons attributed to the 9/11 terrorist attacks on the Twin Towers in New York City, what should people of all backgrounds and persuasions be focused on as 9.11.2025 looms?

This MHVille facts-evidence-analysis (FEA) mashup will unpack those topics and others. Because if we are not free people in a free country, then what are we?

Are we living under the illusions of freedom, which can be snatched away at the next declared emergency?

As a disclosure, MHProNews has at times favorably quoted the Whiteheads over the years. It isn't just liberals or leftists that complain about a lack of freedom (for example, see the linked items in the sentence above). it can be conservatives too like Kevin Roberts at the

Heritage Foundation who has raised the concern about a lack of free markets in the U.S.A. This time, there is arguably a need to parse their words more carefully, because the commentary they have placed their names on has a spurious attribution to Thomas Paine, which begs the question. What other errors may lurk in their remarks? As an additional disclosure for our growing numbers of new readers. This platform is operated by political independents and this writer is a political independent. Two borrow the quote below is good way to describe the thinking and motivations at work herein.

"I don't follow the donkey. I don't follow the elephant. I follow the Lamb."

Drew Mariani and others including the authors below.

Truth over Tribe: Pledging Allegiance to the Lamb, not the Donkey or the Elephant

Patrick Miller and Keith Simon

Do you feel exhausted by tribalism? You aren't alone.

As culture warriors divide the world into us and them—fracturing families, friendships, and churches—most of us long for an end to the constant fighting. But does a practical path exist? Jesus lived in a culture split by tribalism, but he resisted its allure by choosing something bigger: truth. He's now inviting you to apply his ancient path to the modern culture war. How would your community change if you became a catalyst for Christlike unity? Rejecting tribalism is the first step.

It logically should be 'truth over tribe.'

This MHVille FEA mashup will unpack some of the complex relationships and claims regarding President Trump and his purported tyrannical behavior, contrasting that with other 21st century presidents and their respective veeps, including: George W. Bush/Richard "Dick" Cheney (R), Barack Obama/Joe Biden (D), and Joe Biden/Kamala

Harris (D). That will be run through the filter of 9.11, complex Middle Eastern relationships (example: see the Gladstone Institute item in Part II).

MHProNews Note: depending on your browser or device, many images in this report and others on MHProNews can be clicked to expand. Click the image and follow the prompts. For example, in some browsers/devices you click the image and select 'open in a new window.' After clicking that selection you click the image in the open window to expand the image to a larger size. To return to this page, use your back key, escape or follow the prompts.

In Part III, there will be some third-party history on the Rutherford Institute, lead by the Whiteheads. Also in Part III will be third-party AI checks of drafts of this article plus some related commentary to the concerns raised by John and Nisha. Because one thing is clear, be it from the left or right, authentic freedom is important, and some would say, priceless. When voices from the left and right are raising concerns over an erosion of freedom and the threat (or reality) of tyranny, that alone is reason to lean into the topic. Because if the macro-society has a widely perceived "rigged system" (a belief held by some 70 percent of the population, per years of surveys), isn't that a factor in how the illusion of freedom is maintained?

Quoting from a source should not be construed as endorsing everything that the quoted party has ever said or done. Quotable quotes have their own value, which ought to include sparking clear 'critical' or independent thinking. Snowden fled the U.S. and at last report lives in Russia.

Part I - Emailed to MHProNews and used with Permission (Notice: Providing their Commentary does not imply MHProNews agrees with it)

John Whitehead's Commentary

How Tyranny Becomes Entrenched: 9/11 and the Police State's Endless Power Grabs

John & Nisha Whitehead

September 09, 2025

“The greatest tyrannies are always perpetuated in the name of the noblest causes.”—
Thomas Paine

They said it was for safety.

They said it was for order.

They said it was for the good of the nation.

They always say it's for something good... until it isn't.

Nearly a quarter-century after 9/11, we are still living with the consequences of fear-driven government power grabs. What began as “temporary” measures for our security have hardened into a permanent architecture of control.

The bipartisan police-state architecture that began with 9/11 has been passed from president to president and party to party, each recycling the same justifications—safety, security, patriotism—to expand its powers at the expense of the citizenry.

So they locked down the country “for our safety.”

They expanded surveillance “for our security.”

They rounded up anyone who challenged the narrative “for the common good.”

They erased names, ideas, and histories “to prevent offense.”

They forced schools to teach only what was politically correct “for the children.”

They censored speech “for our protection.”

They targeted dissenters “to preserve peace.”

They militarized the streets and called it “law and order.”

These very abuses—once denounced when carried out by the Left—are now cheered, defended, and excused when carried out by the Right.

People who once spoke passionately about truth, freedom, and faith have now fallen silent in the face of injustice, or worse, convinced themselves that nothing is wrong. The very voices that should be warning against tyranny are instead excusing it or looking away.

This is the danger of double standards in politics: every tyranny is rationalized in the moment by its chorus of defenders.

But history teaches that what goes around comes around. If you justify it now, you’ll have no defense when the tables turn.

And yet, time and again, the lies we tell ourselves make it possible. The cult of personality. The blind loyalty to party. The belief that “our side” can’t be the villain.

It never ceases to amaze how far people will go to excuse the actions of their favorite tyrant, even when those actions are the very things they once swore to oppose.

The pattern of justifying tyranny is as old as power itself. Every abuse comes wrapped in the same excuse: we had to do it.

After 9/11, Americans were told the Patriot Act and mass surveillance were “necessary to prevent terrorism.” The result was a sprawling security state that tracks every phone call, every online search, every purchase. The justification was security. The cost was freedom.

Under Obama, drone warfare and the prosecution of whistleblowers were defended as “keeping America safe.” The president even claimed the power to assassinate U.S. citizens abroad without trial. The result was an unaccountable government acting as judge, jury and executioner. The justification was safety. The cost was due process.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, lockdowns and mandates were imposed in the name of “public health,” laying the groundwork for a Nanny State empowered to micromanage every aspect of our lives—where we go, what we buy, who we see. The result was government claiming control over every aspect of daily life. The justification was saving lives. The cost was the right to govern our bodies.

Under Trump, the script is familiar.

National Guard deployments in American cities are justified as “restoring order.” Sweeping surveillance is framed as “protecting communities.” Crackdowns on dissent are defended as “stopping criminals.” Mental health round-ups of the homeless are justified as “helping the vulnerable.” Militarized patrols on city streets are justified as “cleaning up the streets.” Turning ICE into a roving army of lawless thugs is justified as “protecting citizenship.” Censorship and efforts to sanitize American history are now being lauded by the same voices that railed against “cancel culture.”

That same logic has taken a deadly turn abroad. At Trump’s direction, the U.S. carried out a series of preemptive military strikes this year—against Iran’s nuclear sites, against the Houthis in Yemen, and most recently against what the administration claimed was a drug-trafficking boat off the coast of Venezuela. The White House has justified these deadly attacks—carried out without congressional approval or constitutional authorization—as part of the president’s unilateral war-making authority.

This, too, is part of the bipartisan police-state architecture built after 9/11, when presidents claimed open-ended authority to wage preemptive war without meaningful congressional oversight.

What began with Afghanistan and Iraq has metastasized into a global battlefield where any president can launch attacks—on Iran, on Yemen, on Venezuela—without accountability.

As always, the justification is order, safety, and patriotism. The cost is truth, justice and freedom.

Every time Trump expands his powers, the chorus is the same: It wouldn't be necessary if Democrats had done their job. If you don't break the law, you have nothing to fear. If you're not doing anything wrong, why worry?

These are the oldest excuses for tyranny—and they never change. Only the partisanship does.

What makes Trump and those who came before him especially dangerous is not merely their willingness to wield power but the eagerness of their enablers to excuse and defend it at every turn.

History shows that bullies and strongmen can only rise when mobs rally to their side. A tyrant's greatest weapon is not his fist, but the crowd that cheers him on, intimidates his critics, and convinces itself that might makes right.

The machinery of authoritarianism always needs a chorus of defenders, and today that chorus is louder, more organized, and more dismissive of constitutional limits than ever before.

We have been building to this moment for a long time. Even so, why do people accept tyranny so easily?

First, the cult of personality. When people invest blind faith in a leader, they will excuse anything he does. If he says surveillance is necessary, they believe it. If he says dissenters

are enemies, they cheer their punishment. It is the psychology of the mob, cloaked in the loyalty of the true believer.

Second, fear as a political weapon. Every despot knows that frightened people will tolerate almost anything. Fear of terrorism. Fear of crime. Fear of disease. Fear of immigrants. Fear of collapse. Fear makes people beg for the chains that bind them.

Third, the “our side” fallacy. People imagine tyranny is only tyranny when the other side does it. When their side does it, they call it leadership. They call it patriotism. They call it protection. But the abuse doesn’t change when the party label does. Wrong is wrong.

Every new regime that seizes power promises it will use extraordinary authority only for good. And every regime—without exception—uses it to entrench itself at the expense of liberty.

Every generation tells itself the same lies to excuse the same abuses.

Consider the whiplash of partisan double standards:

Conservatives who blasted the Obama administration for NSA spying now cheer Trump’s Palantir partnership and AI-driven surveillance that tracks Americans’ digital footprints.

Democrats who embraced Biden’s use of emergency orders to advance their agenda have been quick to denounce Trump for ruling by executive order.

Those who bristled at COVID mandates under Democrats now applaud Trump’s use of government force to impose his own version of “public safety.”

Both sides flip-flop on free speech. Conservatives denounced censorship on college campuses but defend banning “dangerous” books and surveilling dissidents, while liberals oppose Trump’s attempt to whitewash history yet defend platforms censoring speech they deem “harmful” or “hateful.”

The double standard is breathtaking.

Tyranny doesn't change depending on who carries it out. Yet partisans convince themselves it does. They say: It's different this time. It's necessary. It's for us.

In truth, the only difference is who holds the whip.

The Constitution was designed to restrain exactly this impulse. It does not say: "These rights apply only when the other party is in power." It does not say: "The executive may rule by decree if he is popular."

James Madison warned that "if men were angels, no government would be necessary." But men are not angels. That is why the Constitution separates powers, guarantees due process, and protects speech and assembly—especially in times of crisis.

Every time one party tramples these limits, the other eventually inherits those same powers and uses them in turn. The Patriot Act, passed under Bush, was wielded aggressively under Obama, Trump, and Biden. The executive orders one president signs become the precedents for the next.

"What you excuse today," history warns us, "will be used against you tomorrow."

The descent into tyranny always begins with justifications.

The Roman Republic collapsed into empire because senators claimed Caesar needed extraordinary powers to restore order. The republic never recovered.

In 1930s Germany, emergency decrees were defended as temporary measures to stabilize society. They became the permanent architecture of dictatorship.

In post-9/11 America, warrantless surveillance and secret courts were sold as temporary protections. Nearly a quarter-century later, they remain fixtures of government power.

Tyranny is never announced as tyranny. It is always justified as safety, morality, and order. It is always explained away as temporary. And it is always defended by people who believe they are on the winning side.

And so here we are.

A president issues executive orders that erode the Bill of Rights. His supporters applaud. Another president expands surveillance or censorship. His supporters applaud.

Both sides denounce the abuses of their opponents yet sanction the same abuses when carried out by their own.

This is how liberty dies—not with a sudden coup, but with partisan politics valued more than principled freedom.

The police state thrives on this selective outrage. It does not matter which party is in power. The machinery of control grows. The Constitution withers. And the people are left squabbling over whose tyrant is better.

There is only one antidote: principle.

You cannot defend freedom by defending tyranny when your side is in power. You cannot preserve liberty by cheering for its destruction. You cannot expect constitutional limits to shield you tomorrow if you discard them today.

The warnings span centuries. The Founders foresaw the danger: James Madison cautioned against the “gradual and silent encroachments” of government. Thomas Jefferson warned that the natural tendency of power is to grow.

Justice Louis Brandeis later confirmed it from the vantage point of the modern state: “the greatest dangers to liberty lurk in insidious encroachments by men of zeal, well-meaning but without understanding.”

Those warnings went unheeded after 9/11, and we have been paying the price ever since. The bipartisan police-state architecture built in those years has only grown stronger, repurposed by each new administration.

Unless we find the courage to dismantle it, today’s justifications will become tomorrow’s permanent chains.

The lesson is clear: if you want liberty, you must defend it consistently—even when it restrains your own party, your own leader, your own side. Especially then.

What you excuse today will be used against you tomorrow.

As I make clear in my book *Battlefield America: The War on the American People* and in its fictional counterpart *The Erik Blair Diaries*, it does not matter whether the abuse comes draped in red or blue. It does not matter whether it is cheered by the Right or justified by the Left.

Tyranny, once excused, becomes entrenched.

ABOUT JOHN W. WHITEHEAD

Constitutional attorney and author John W. Whitehead is founder and president of The Rutherford Institute. His most recent books are the best-selling *Battlefield America: The War on the American People*, the award-winning *A Government of Wolves: The Emerging American Police State*, and a debut dystopian fiction novel, *The Erik Blair Diaries*. Whitehead can be contacted at staff@rutherford.org. Nisha Whitehead is the Executive Director of The Rutherford Institute. Information about The Rutherford Institute is available at www.rutherford.org.

Part II - With the 9.11 memorial in mind, the following from the Gladstone Institute on this date in an email to MHPProNews.

1) Before unpacking some of the hits and misses in the Whitehead's commentary, consider the following from the Gatestone Institute, via email. Why? Because it indirectly relates to concerns about 9.11 and the nuances of too much power in the hands of the federal - or other - levels of U.S. governments.

2)

Sudan's Hidden War: Muslim Brotherhood's Grip on Army Threatens Regional Stability, Global Trade

by Anna Mahjar-Barducci • September 10, 2025 at 5:00 am

- Sudan's brutal civil war... is not just a clash between the Sudanese Armed Forces (SAF) and their former military allies turned rivals, the Rapid Support Forces (RSF). It is a calculated power grab by the Muslim Brotherhood, which appears to be using the SAF as a Trojan horse to dominate northeast Africa and the Red Sea, a critical artery for global commerce.
- The Muslim Brotherhood, sponsored by Qatar, appears to be hijacking the SAF to stage a takeover, recycling old alliances under new guises. Despite recent

concessions to the United States and Egypt, the Muslim Brotherhood's grip in Sudan -- backed by Qatar and Iran -- threatens regional and global stability, potentially including freedom of passage in the Red Sea.

- [T]he Muslim Brotherhood — known in Sudan as the Islamic Movement — has entrenched itself in the SAF, and turned it into a tool for their regional ambitions to take control of northeast Africa and the Red Sea.
- The Muslim Brotherhood is not just allied with the SAF; individuals in it seem to be steering the SAF to take total control of Sudan in order to make it the Muslim Brotherhood's stronghold in Africa and the Middle East.
- The SAF is infiltrated by jihadist factions such as the Al-Bara Bin Malik Brigade (the Muslim Brotherhood's local military arm), the Bunyan Al-Marsous Brigade, and Justice and Equality Movement rebels led by Finance Minister Jibril Ibrahim. These groups, tied to Bashir's ruthless National Intelligence and Security Service, frame their fight as a "jihad" against the RSF, which is backed by the United Arab Emirates and Sudan's secular civil society.
- Ali Ahmed Karti, the U.S.-sanctioned Islamic Movement leader, is, as reported by Arab media outlets, a key orchestrator of the SAF-Muslim Brotherhood alliance. Since his student days, Karti has organized Brotherhood loyalists in the army, and later packed the SAF with jihadists.
- One analyst suggested that the five generals were dismissed after Burhan met with U.S. Special Envoy Mossad Boulos in Switzerland, on August 11, 2025. Researcher Mujahid Ahmed, however, warns that the Muslim Brotherhood's influence persists, extending into civilian institutions, especially the foreign affairs and justice ministries. According to the Ayin Network, Al-Burhan apparently still relies on Karti and Bashir's loyalist, Ahmed Haroun, for battlefield support, indicating a tactical, not total, break.

- Iran has been supplying the Muslim Brotherhood-SAF axis with arms, including Ababil-3 and Mohajer-6 drones, which were delivered to Port Sudan in March and June 2024. Satellite imagery viewed by the BBC confirms their presence at a military site near Khartoum. Iran's support of this Muslim Brotherhood-SAF axis, tied to its ambitions to have a presence in the Red Sea, coincides with the Brotherhood's goals: namely, threatening U.S. allies such as Israel, Saudi Arabia and Egypt.
- Burhan's "cosmetic" purge of Islamist generals shows that indeed he can be influenced by Egypt and by the United States, but his reliance on the Muslim Brotherhood's financial and military support limits his ability to implement any real reforms.
- Sudan is evidently very much a part of the Muslim Brotherhood's global agenda. Ignoring events there will only allow a hostile stronghold to emerge in a region strategically vital for the interests of the West.

3) Also before unpacking the Whiteheads commentary is this item. To MHProNews via email and at this link here.

Power struggles and business relations in Florence in the 15th century. How Cosimo de' Medici used his bank to fight his inner opponents

By Kurt Weissen

[MHProNews notes that per Academia this is their "AI" generated abstract.]

AI-generated Abstract

This research examines the intricate power dynamics and business relations in 15th century Florence, focusing on how Cosimo de' Medici strategically utilized his banking institution to undermine his political adversaries. With historical context, the study analyzes the intersection of finance and politics during this period, particularly examining

how Cosimo's economic initiatives and alliances influenced both his personal and broader Florentine political landscape. Key investigations include the roles of the Medici bank in facilitating political power, the connections established with other influential families, and the implications of these relationships on the fortunes of his competitors.

Part of the purpose of sharing the above is this. For centuries, half-truths and deceptions have been employed against the people or specific groups. The PDF of Weissen's thesis is found at [this link here](#). It may be the subject of a future report. MHPros take careful note, quoting for emphasis.

This research examines the intricate power dynamics and business relations in 15th century Florence, focusing on how Cosimo de' Medici strategically utilized his banking institution to undermine his political adversaries.

4) Fast-forward from the 15th century to the 21st century. Isn't that similar to what MHARR has been saying for years is part of what has hobbled modern manufactured housing in the 21st century?!? Anything that has happened before can happen again. Macro-events shed light on more specific occurrences, including those that impact the HUD Code manufactured home industry. There are multiple lenses that can and should be considered to better understand the reality of the landscape vs. the posturing.

"We study history in order to understand the present and prepare for the future. Because anything that's happened before can happen again." That was on 2.29.2020 per a televised remark. One may or may not agree with Rep. James "Jim" Clyburn's politics. But the wisdom of this statement by Clyburn is demonstrably true.

Part III - Additional MHVille FEA plus more MHPProNews Commentary

1) In order to be fair and just, one should consider what the valid broad concerns raised by the Whiteheads are vs. the specifics to see if their latest column is supported by known and recent evidence. For example.

2) The 'presidential takeover' of D.C. police ended after 30 days allowed by law. Note, as with any other source, posting an X post doesn't mean agreement with that post. It presumably reflects the views of those who made that post. MHPProNews has, for example, pulled back the veil for years on Black Lives Matters. As a teaser, corporate interests have supported BLM and other often leftist groups like the Arabella network. Some of those groups have operated in MHVille.

Trump's "crime emergency" takeover of D.C. expires tonight. 30 days of National Guard patrols and police under his thumb. Now he's gearing up to take his authoritarian playbook on tour, with another city in his crosshairs.

Real safety will never come from more troops or police.... pic.twitter.com/DLwhF3wpXI

— Black Lives Matter (BLM) (@Blklivesmatter) September 10, 2025


Trump's federal takeover of D.C. is set to end Wednesday.

DC Police will no longer be required to assist federal agencies.

The number of federal officers & National Guard troops patrolling DC is not expected to decrease though. @barnardfox5dc reports.<https://t.co/JuHYt07Vyh>
pic.twitter.com/lchYxoJnvr

— Shomari Stone (@shomaristone) September 10, 2025

3) When a court tells Trump Administration to do or not do something, they may appeal the decision, but they tend to obey.

 BREAKING: WHOA U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit denied Trump's motion for stay 2-1 in the case involving forced spending
pic.twitter.com/O6qIkL8XX4

— Margot Cleveland (@ProfMJCleveland) September 5, 2025

 The Judicial Coup is collapsing.

Democrat activist judges tried to sabotage Trump's presidency with bogus rulings.

But the Supreme Court is smacking them down—siding with Trump over 70% of the time on emergency appeals.

The Left's last weapon is failing. 📌 pic.twitter.com/xlrMzFT6CZ

— Rod D. Martin (@RodDMartin) September 9, 2025

4) Contrast that with the Biden-Harris (D) years, when the Supreme Court ruled Joe Biden had no authority to forgive student loan debts. But Biden did it again anyway. That is but one of many ways that the Biden-Harris regime violated their constitutional limitations, as the Whiteheads themselves have said.

@realDonaldTrump should ignore him. Just as Biden ignored the Supreme Court when they said he could not forgive student loans. Nothing happened to Biden. @DOGE
@RNCResearch pic.twitter.com/gPMvmZeiRC

— We the People | Populism is Democracy us (@Jude_62) February 8, 2025

ROBERT F. KENNEDY JR: "37 hours after Biden took the oath into office, he opened up a portal for the FBI, CIA, DHS to censor Americans online." pic.twitter.com/8tvMoCUVxO

— Chuck Callesto (@ChuckCallesto) September 12, 2024

"Biden openly called in his task force to promote beefing up Europe's censorship laws while the White House was simultaneously working with the U.K. digital ministry to mutually take out each other's political opponents." @MikeBenzCyber, Executive Director of @FFO_Freedom, at... pic.twitter.com/BtF0ioTBk9

— American Moment (@AmMomentOrg) September 3, 2025

5) Team Trump have often been exposing the abuses of the federal government. While that might be argued by the Whiteheads as a mere ruse to mask their own wrongdoing, the Trump Administration has been advancing other initiatives that in keeping with the notion of a more limited federal government and in keeping with the First Amendment rights of the people, including pastors and religious leaders in houses of worship. That is a major change from the past half-plus century in the U.S. since the Johnson rule (D) was implemented. Arguably among the most potent groups that could be mobilized for changing and reforming a rigged system on behalf of the vast majority of Americans is precisely religious leaders. The abolition movement and the civil rights movements (for just two examples) were often pushed by faith-leaders.

NEW: IRS says churches CAN ENDORSE political candidates without losing tax-exempt status

The move ends a 70-year interpretation of the tax code and the Johnson Amendment that barred non-profit groups, including churches, from endorsing political candidates without risking their... pic.twitter.com/VNeXpN8Yld

— Libs of TikTok (@libsoftiktok) July 8, 2025

PRAY 🙏 FOR PRESIDENT TRUMP!

TRUMP IS NOW CONSIDERING USING TARRIFS TO FULLY FUND THE GOVT AND ABOLISH THE INCOME TAX COMPLETELY

Trump started out with no tax on tips

No tax on Social Security

No tax on overtime

Now he's talking about ABOLISHING the IRS

The Federal... pic.twitter.com/8wuHFpJyv4

— TRUTH NOW ★★ ★👉👈 (@sxdoc) October 24, 2024

PRAY 🙏 FOR PRESIDENT TRUMP!

TRUMP IS NOW CONSIDERING USING TARRIFS TO FULLY FUND THE GOVT AND ABOLISH THE INCOME TAX COMPLETELY

Trump started out with no tax on tips

No tax on Social Security

No tax on overtime

Now he's talking about ABOLISHING the IRS

The Federal... pic.twitter.com/8wuHFpJyv4

— TRUTH NOW ★★☆☆ (👉👈) (@sxdoc) October 24, 2024

The IRS says that pastors who endorse politicians from the pulpit will not risk their churches losing their tax-exempt status.

Churches are also exempt from disclosing donors and have no caps on contributions.

They're basically just super PACs now. pic.twitter.com/3y4ZZpnC46

— Fifty Shades of Whey (@davenewworld_2) July 9, 2025

6) MHProNews has communicated in an initially private and polite, but factually accurate fashion, to the Whiteheads at the Rutherford Institute concerns about equating Trump-Vance (R) with Biden-Harris (D). It is obvious that no one is a perfect candidate. All candidates and officials merit ongoing scrutiny. Part of the role of media is to monitor and hold the powerful to account. All political figures and others who wield power or authority in some form or fashion should be viewed through an objective moral and legal lens. That said, to make Biden-Harris (D) and Trump-Vance (R) somehow the same is apparently factually mistaken. See examples of communications to the Rutherford Institute linked [here](#) and [here](#).

Note that the Rutherford Institute is rated as Libertarian or generally center/right leaning.

MHProNews Note: depending on your browser or device, many images in this report and others on MHProNews can be clicked to expand. Click the image and follow the prompts. For example, in some browsers/devices you click the image and select 'open in a new window.' After clicking that selection you click the image in the open window to expand the image to a larger size. To return to this page, use your back key, escape or follow the prompts.

MHProNews Note: depending on your browser or device, many images in this report and others on MHProNews can be clicked to expand. Click the image and follow the prompts. For example, in some browsers/devices you click the image and select 'open in a new window.' After clicking that selection you click the image in the open window to expand the image to a larger size. To return to this page, use your back key, escape or follow the prompts.

This writer for MHProNews via the Patch has previously called the Whiteheads' seemingly unjust equating Bush-Cheney (R), Obama-Biden (D), Biden-Harris (D) with Trump-Vance (R) is problematic, based on evidence, logic, and the need for a moral order that includes Constitutionally limited government.

<https://patch.com/florida/lakeland/concerns-over-john-nisha-whitehead-rutherford-org-recent-anti-trump-rants-explored>

7) There is little doubt that the broad point raised by the Whiteheads about psychological warfare being waged on Americans is well supported. Citing sources from across the left-right divide, MHProNews has documented that, including but not limited to in the past, by citing the Whiteheads. Note that in the article below, among those cited is Kevin Roberts,

Ph.D., president and CEO of the right-leaning influential Heritage.org. More examples from 9.11 reports are linked here.

<https://www.manufacturedhomepronews.com/apparent-power-v-unseen-hand-kevin-robert-we-dont-have-genuine-free-markets-whitehead-youd-better-watch-out-corporate-surveillance-state-are-ma/>

8) Corporate and federal power are not left/right issues. They are AMERICAN issues, and they are occurring in other nations too. These issues demonstrably impact manufactured housing, which is a demonstrably necessary industry that is tragically being treated like a plaything by public officials, some (not all) nonprofits, and numbers of corporate giants that include asset managers. That noted, some other articles that include references to the Whiteheads and 9.11 are as shown below.

<https://www.manufacturedhomepronews.com/whitehead-on-technofascism-government-pressured-tech-companies-to-censor-users-nothing-good-comes-from-allowing-govt-to-sidestep-u-s-constitution-plus-mhmarkets/>

9) Stated differently, the Whiteheads are arguably correct in saying that 'nothing good comes from allowing government to sidestep the U.S. Constitution. The Whiteheads are also arguably correct in raising concerns over 'techno' (or other corporate) fascism.

<https://www.manufacturedhomepronews.com/masthead/whitehead-watched-youre-likely-already-on-govt-watchlist-2024-is-the-new-1984-the-rise-of-the-security-industrial-complex-e/>

<https://www.manufacturedhomepronews.com/circus-politics-are-intended-to-distract-us-dont-be-distracted-rutherfords-john-nisha-whitehead-outline-magicians-sleight-of-hand-keep-you-focus/>

<https://www.manufacturedhomepronews.com/competing-realities-are-not-business-as-usual-whitehead-warns-were-already-living-in-the-metaverse-u-s-detention-camps-plus-sunday-weekly-mhville-reports-headline/>

<https://www.manufacturedhomelivingnews.com/consider-surprising-9-11-conspiracy-theories-on-anniversary-of-the-attack-on-the-twin-towers-why-principles-matter-to-affordable-housing-seekers-never-let-a-good-crisis-go-to-waste/>

<https://www.manufacturedhomepronews.com/9-11-01-untold-lessons-after-20-years-betrayal-and-botched-policies-reveal-corporate-u-s-politicos-polices-harm-most-americans-of-all-backgrounds-facts-figures-and-solutions-plus-mh-market-up/>

Still from video below. <https://www.manufacturedhomepronews.com/patriots-day-remembering-9-11-the-genius-of-memorials/>

<https://www.manufacturedhomepronews.com/9-11-memorials-america-then-and-now-look-back-at-manufactured-housing/>

<https://www.manufacturedhomepronews.com/24-felony-counts-hit-manufactured-home-pro-aoc-tax-the-rich-scam-warrens-dystopian-reveal-on-wells-gses-mh-financing-bush-biden-9-11-bash-midweek-news-briefs-analysis-plus-man-home-markets-u/>

7) Restated, many of the moves made by the Trump Administration run counter to the claims made by Whiteheads or other anti-Trump sources. That doesn't, however, mean that Trump's leadership is perfect or beyond scrutiny. As the examples from Qatar, Sudan, and Saudi Arabia illustrate, there is a complex web of relationships in the Middle East and northeast Africa that often have a mixed record of supporting American interests while also supporting terror groups that are demonstrably anti-U.S. interests. That's not saying that Trump is either right or wrong in his decisions. It is saying that the facts reveal that complex web of relationships and how difficult it may be to navigate them.

While MHPProNews respects the Whiteheads right to say what they are saying, that doesn't make them correct.

8) To further undercut the critique of the Whiteheads, Trump sought to end the Middle Eastern and Afghan conflict. While there are potential constitutional arguments in the Iran bombing of their nuclear sites, it is at least an example that is arguably in U.S. interests, while some attacks and invasions that occurred in under Bush-Cheney (R), Obama-Biden (D), Biden Harris (D). Note that Cheney endorsed Harris in 2024. That points to 'the Establishment' wings of the Republican party supporting the Democratic Establishment candidate. It may seem complex, but is in some ways is just that simple. The financial interests often rule, regardless of political parties.

9) It was candidate Trump who took on the Bush-Cheney wing of the GOP and beat them. Not once, but three times in three campaigns. So, to be balanced, Trump is imperfect. He and his administration have arguably erred in several things, but in fairness, perhaps no administration in the modern era has had such an array of challenges as Trump did during his first and second terms in office. The political cartoonist Ben Garrison illustrated that left and right anti-Trump efforts in cartoons like those that follow.

Uploaded to MHProNews on: December 1, 2022.

"In the business world, the rear-view mirror is always clearer than the windshield." - Warren Buffett. That begs a key question. Why don't more people LOOK at the rearview mirror so they can learn more about the patterns that influence what's ahead? Note: depending on your browser or device, many images in this report can be clicked to expand. or example, in some browsers/devices you click the image and select 'open in a new window.' After clicking that selection, you click the image in the open window to expand the image to a larger size. To return to this page, use your back key, escape or follow the prompts.

Those who pay attention to the details and nuances can see for themselves that some on 'the right' have been as anti-Trump as those on the left. That points to a deeper set of relationships. That might be called the "Establishment," "the Blob," "the Deep State," or other such nomenclature that has been used for years that reflect the inordinate influence that wealthy corporate and billionaire interests have over the political process. That's been acknowledged in years of reports that span the left-right divide.

10) As MHProNews reported, several of those big tech oligarchs were welcomed at the White House recently. But was that a case of keep your friends close and your enemies closer? Fairness and discernment demand that the question be asked and probed.

<https://www.manufacturedhomepronews.com/keep-your-friends-close-keep-your-enemies-closer-tech-leaders-fhfa-fannie-freddie-mhi-clayton-champion-cavco-dts-ratings-levitts-maga-minute-sunday-weekly-mhville-headlines-recap-fea/>

11)

12)

13)